Rubric: Business Bio

Criteria	Professional	Experienced	Developing	Novice
Content The information collected during the search	☐ The information gathered sufficiently covered the issue/topic being researched.	Overall, the information gathered adequately covered the issue/topic being researched.	☐ The information gathered was fairly inadequate and left some large gaps in the issue/topic being researched.	☐ The information gathered was completely inadequate.
70 points	☐ The information came from pertinent, legitimate sources.	Most of the information came from pertinent, legitimate sources.	☐ Much of the information came from unreliable or questionable sources.	☐ The information was of poor, unreliable quality.
	☐ The information contained data that could be easily and positively validated.	Most of the information contained data that could be validated.	☐ Some of the information could not be easily validated.	☐ Most of the information could not be validated.
	☐ The information collected was the most current available.	☐ The information collected was, overall, current.	☐ Much of the information was outdated.	☐ The information was so outdated it was no longer relevant.
	☐ Product was grammatically correct, error free, and easy to understand.	☐ Spelling or grammatical errors did not limit understanding of product.	☐ Spelling and grammatical errors were distracting.	☐ Product contained so many spelling and grammar errors that its message was unclear.
	☐ Ideas were expressed clearly in language that was easy to understand.	☐ Ideas were expressed clearly with only a few words difficult to understand.	☐ Both ideas and words required much effort to understand.	☐ Ideas were vague and elusive, and language was difficult to understand.

Rubric: Business Bio (cont'd)

Criteria	Professional	Experienced	Developing	Novice
Organization The way in which the information is put together 30 points	☐ The information was easy to follow and sequenced logically.	☐ The information was generally easy to follow and sequenced logically.	☐ The information was difficult to follow and/or not well sequenced.	☐ The information was difficult to follow and in random order.
	☐ Information relating specifically to the topic was always clearly identified.	☐ Information relating to the topic was usually identified.	☐ Information relating to the topic was not clearly identified.	☐ Information relating to the topic was not identified at all.
	☐ Main points were clearly summarized.	☐ Main points were usually, but not always, clearly summarized.	☐ Summary of main points was vague.	☐ Main points were not summarized.
	☐ Supporting documentation was complete and clearly labeled.	☐ Supporting documentation was clearly labeled, but some items were missing.	□ Some supporting documentation was missing, and some was inaccurately labeled.	□ Supporting documentation was not provided.